

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/630,836	07/31/2003	Alison J. McMillan	84801 3019 PDG 1960		
20736 7590 08/09/2005			EXAM	EXAMINER	
MANELLI DENISON & SELTER 2000 M STREET NW SUITE 700			PATEL, VISHAL A		
WASHINGTON, DC 20036-3307			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3679		

DATE MAILED: 08/09/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary    10/630,836   McMillLAN, ALISON J.		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Vishal Patel   S779		10/630,836	MCMILLAN, ALISON J.				
Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Edurations of time may be available under the provision of 3°C FR 1.136(a). In or event, however, may a reply be timely filed as the file of the provision of 3°C FR 1.136(a). In or event, however, may a reply be timely filed as the file of the provision of 13°C FR 1.136(a). In or event, however, may a reply be timely filed as the filed of the provision of the provision of 13°C FR 1.136(a). In or event, however, may a reply be timely filed as the filed of the provision of the provisi	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.35(s), he no event, however, may a reply be timely filed  Extensions of times may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.35(s), he no event, however, may a reply be timely filed  If the period for reply a specified above, he maximum statutory partly within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days, will be considered timely.  If NO period for reply is a specified above, he maximum statutory and a statutory minimum of thirty (30) days, will be considered timely.  If NO period for reply is a specified above, he maximum statutory and a statutory minimum of thirty (30) days, will be considered timely.  If NO period for reply a specified above, he maximum statutory and a statutory of the statutory minimum of the provision of the maximum statutory and a statutory of the statutory							
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Editaristics of time may be available useful to provide and 37 CFR 1.13(e). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed offer \$1X (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication, and within the statistory principum of bitiny (30) stay will be considered timely, the provided offer \$1X (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication, and the provided provided by the Office down, the maximum stateroy principum stateroy principum of bitiny (50) stay will be considered timely, the provided by the Office down, the maximum stateroy principum stateroy principum of the provided by the Office down, the maximum stateroy principum stater of providing the provided by the Office down, the making date of this communication. Fallure to reply with the set of CFR 1.74(b).  Status  1)  Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 May 2005.  2a)  This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.  3)  Is called this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4)  Claim(s) 1.2.4-19 and 31-33 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are allowed.  6] Claim(s) 1.2.4-19 and 31-33 is/are rejected.  7)  Claim(s) 1.2.4-19 and 31-33 is/are rejected.  7)  Claim(s) 1.2.4-19 and 31-33 is/are rejected.  7)  Claim(s) 3.2-4-19 and 31-32 is/are rejected.  10  The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Application Papers  9)  The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oran of rawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  21)  All b) some c) None of:  1.  Certif							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 May 2005. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1.2.4-19 and 31-33 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) 1.2.4-19 and 31-33 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) 1.2.4-19 and 31-33 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a accepted or b   objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheel(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3 Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any						
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL.  2b) ☐ This action is non-final.  3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) ☐ Claim(s) 1.2.4-19 and 31-33 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a), Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(e)  1) ☐ Notice of Perferences Cited (PTO-892)  5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patentare, Piper Tor-1449 or PTO/58/08)  5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patentare, Piper Tor-1449 or PTO/58/08)	Status						
3)  Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4)  Claim(s)	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 Ma	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 May 2005.					
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4)	2a)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)□ This	☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)☐ This action is non-final.					
All b  Some * c  Summary of the priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a  All b  Some * c  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  All Notice of Draftsperson's Patem Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-152)		3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
4)  Claim(s) 1.2.4-19 and 31-33 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9)  The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10)  The drawing(s) filled on is/are: a)  cecepted or b)  objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11)  The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a)  All b)  Some * c)  None of:  1.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3.  Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1)  Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3)  Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of:  1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) □ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) □ Notice of Orrdfsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) □ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/S8/08)  5) □ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	Disposition of Claims						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s) 1) Notice of Patersperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/S8/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2,4-19 and 31-33</u> is/are pending in the application.						
6) Claim(s) 1.2.4-19 and 31-33 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in his National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB08)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	<u> </u>						
## Application Papers  9	<u> </u>	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
Application Papers  9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1 ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Paper No(s)/Mail Date Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	ojட்ட Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	Application Papers						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some columns have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	т ль оант от declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action of form ₹10-152.						
a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)							
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	•						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)							
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)							
	2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ate				
			atent Application (PTO-152)				

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1-2, 4-6, 8, 10, 15, 17-18 and 31-33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Heshmat (US. 5,833,369).

Regarding claim 1: Heshmat discloses a seal (48) comprising a seal edge (edge of 48 that is adjacent 20), which in use is held in close proximity in use to a relatively rotating surface (intended use). The edge being reinforced by creases (creases on member 48, which form corrugations on member 48 and the creases extend away from the edge) formed in a surface element (surface of 48) and extending away from the edge (the creases extend away from the edge). The seal having perforations (perforations that have a convex portion) that are provided adjacent to the seal edge (the perforations are adjacent to the edge) and to allow air leakage through the seal, the leaking air providing a lifting force on the seal (intended use, the specific environment is not claimed). The rotating surfaces rides upon an enhanced pressure created by air leakage through the perforations (the seal has a wedge film that is produced by the gas that is pumped between the seal). The respective seals are secured in alignment with the respective edges (edges of the seals 48, 49 and 68 are in alignment) presented towards that relatively rotating surface (intended use). The respective seals are secured in a recess or an aperture (the seals are secured in an aperture of a housing not showed around a shaft 20). A number of seals

Application/Control Number: 10/630,836

Art Unit: 3679

are secured in alignment (the seals 68, 48 and 49 are secured in alignment) and a spacer is placed between adjacent seals (member 62, 66 are placed between the seals 68 and 49).

Regarding claim 2: The seal has several slots (slots in 48) to facilitate flexibility and the slots are formed between the creases.

Regarding claim 4: The seal includes a plurality of edges (edges of 48 and 49) in a seal assembly (seal assembly of Heshmat) and theses edges are presented upon respective spaced seal surface elements (each elements 48 and 49 having a surface) of the seal assembly (the elements are placed upon each other or side by side of each other).

Regarding claims 5-6: The perforations are graded outwardly from the edge (this is the case since first two perforation close to the edge are small, two more perforations above the first two perforation are larger and so on). The perforations near to the edge are relatively small compared to perforations further displaced from the edge (see figure 12).

Regarding claim 8: The perforations are constricted from one side to the other to facilitate airflow to achieve the desired air pressure differential across the edge (all perforations are thorough one side of the seal to an opposite side of the seal).

Regarding claim 10: The creases in the adjacent spaced seal surface elements are in a respectively opposed relationship relative to each other (this is the case sine the crease in seal element 48 are in one direction and the creases in seal element 68 are in an opposed direction).

Regarding claim 15: The edge is substantially straight between the crease (the edge is substantially straight between two creases in the seal element 48).

Regarding claim 17: One of a stiffener fold and a stiffener element is secured to the seal to further reinforce each edge (this is the case since element 49 is secured to the seal).

Application/Control Number: 10/630,836

Art Unit: 3679

Regarding claim 18: The seal having a flow deflector is provide to direct air through the seal (any of elements 60 or 62 are considered to be a deflector).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1, 9 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Comery (US. 2,871,038) in view of Heshmat.

Comery discloses a seal (14) comprising a seal edge (edge of 14 that is near shaft 18) to be held in close proximity in use to a relatively rotating surface (surface of rotor 18). The edge is reinforced by creases (creases in 14) extending away from the edge (the creases extend away from the edge). The creases are angular relative to the edge in order to form a ring with sails defined between respective adjacent creases (the crease are angular relative to the edge to from a ring with sails, as seen in figure 5). The edge is substantially curved between the creases. The edge is formed upon a spiral, which extends form a number of cycles to form the seal (the edge is annular and forms a ring). Comery discloses the invention substantially as claimed above but fails to disclose that a desired distribution of perforations is provided above the edge to facilitate air pressure differential across the edge as the rotating surface rotates in relation to the edge (intended use). Heshmat discloses a seal to have an edge and plurality of perforations extending away from the edge (edge of 48 and slits that form strips 50). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to configure the seal of

Comery to have a distribution of perforations above the edge as taught by Heshmat, to provide flexibility and stiffness (column 6, lines 57-65 of Heshmat).

5. Claims 1, 11-12 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tseng et al (US. 5,568,931) in view of Comery (US. 2,871,038) and in further view of Heshmat (US. 5,833,369).

Tseng discloses a seal comprising a seal edge (edge of 90), the edge includes slots (94) to facilitate flexibility in the edge when held in close proximity to a rotation surface (86). The slots extend substantially perpendicular to the major axis of the edge (the slots are perpendicular to the major axis of the edge). The slots terminate in one of a keyhole and a bulbous end (the slot 94 terminates in a keyhole or a bulbous end 98). Tseng discloses the invention substantially as claimed above but fails to disclose that the seal has creases extending away from the edge. Comery discloses a seal having an edge and creases (20) extending away from the edge. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to configure the seal of Tseng to have creases as taught by Comery to provide rigidity and to reduce cost by using thinner strips (column 2, lines 64-66 of Comery).

Tseng and Comery disclose the invention substantially as claimed above but fails to disclose that a desired distribution of perforations is provided above the edge to facilitate air pressure differential across the edge as the rotating surface rotates in relation to the edge (intended use). Heshmat discloses a seal to have an edge and plurality of perforations extending away from the edge (edge of 48 and slits that form strips 50). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to configure the seal of Tseng

Art Unit: 3679

and Comery to have a distribution of perforations above the edge as taught by Heshmat, to provide flexibility and stiffness (column 6, lines 57-65 of Heshmat).

6. Claims 1-2, 5-7, 13 and 19 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Grondahl (US. 6,644,667) in view of Comery (US. 2,871,038).

Grondahl discloses a seal (24) having a seal edge (edge of seal near 16), the edge having a desired distribution of perforations (perforations as seen in figures 5-8) and slots (figure 8, where perforations are at the edge and the slots is near 34), the perforations are varying lengths extending from the edge (the width of seal 24 is varied column 5, lines 19-20, hence the slots would be varying lengths, where a larger width of seal 24 would have a larger slot and a smaller width would have a smaller slot) and the seal is formed upon a spiral which extends for a number of cycles to form the seal (24). The perforations are graded outwardly from the edge and the perforations near the edge have a greater population density per unit area compared to perforations further displaced from the edge (this is the case since the perforations near the area have a larger width than the perforations away from the edge, the slot or perforations are of Vshape, as seen in figures 7-8). Grondahl disclose the invention substantially as claimed above but fail to disclose that the seal has creases extending away from the edge. Comery discloses a seal having an edge and creases (20) extending away from the edge. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to configure the seal of Tseng to have creases as taught by Comery to provide rigidity and to reduce cost by using thinner strips (column 2, lines 64-66 of Comery).

7. Claim 13 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Heshmat in view of Grondahl.

Page 7

Heshmat discloses the invention substantially as claimed above but fails to disclose that the slots are of varying length. Grondahl discloses a seal to have slots and the slots are varying lengths (figures 7-8) or constant length (figure 9). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to configure the slots of Heshmat to have varying length as taught by Grondahl, since having slots with constant length or varying length is considered to be art equivalent.

### Response to Arguments

8. Applicant's arguments filed 5/23/05 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicants' argument that Heshmat does not disclose slots or a seal edge is not persuasive because Heshmat does disclose a seal edge of a seal member and having slots as noted in paragraph 2 above. Furthermore applicant argument that the edge is located around a shaft and lifting force produced by airflow is not persuasive because applicant has not claimed the environment or the shaft or the airflow.

Applicants' argument that Comery seal would not be modified by Heshmat is not persuasive because to have perforations added to Comery seal will provide flexibility and stiffness (column 6, lines 57-65 of Heshmat).

Applicants' argument that Tseng does not teach a seal but a damper 90 is not persuasive because the damper 90 of Tseng acts as a labyrinth seal and also reduces flow of fluid between to adjacent spaces.

Applicants' argument that the seal of Grondahl has the slots and perforations but function differently than applicants invention is not persuasive because as pointed above that applicant

Application/Control Number: 10/630,836 Page 8

Art Unit: 3679

has not claimed the environment and is only claiming a seal having a particular structure, which is disclosed by Grondahl.

#### Conclusion

9. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Vishal Patel whose telephone number is 571-272-7060. The examiner can normally be reached on 6:30am to 8:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Daniel P. Stodola can be reached on 571-272-7087. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/630,836

Art Unit: 3679

Page 9

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

VP

August 4, 2005

Vishal Patel

Patent Examiner Tech. Center 3600